

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA

February 12, 2013

TO: File

THRU: Paul Baker

FROM: Wayne Western

SUBJECT: Memo to File, Anasazi Gold Reserves, Highland Mist Mine, S/021/0035, Iron County,

Utah

On February 7, 2013, the Division finalized the assessment for violation MN-2010-17-08. The fine was \$0.00. Since the fine was \$0.00 and the violation was issued in 2010. The Division will not send out the assessment.

 $O: \label{lem:constraint} O: \label{lem:co$



WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

NOV / CO	#: <u>MN-</u> 2	2010-17-08	PERM	IT: S/021/0035
COMPANY	Y / MINE	Anasazi Gold Res	serves/Highland Mist	
		TE <u>February 7, 201</u> FICER <u>Wayne West</u>		
I. <u>HIS</u> A.	Are t	(Max. 25 pts.) (R647- here previous violation ears of today's date?		g or vacated, which fall three
	EVIOUS none	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)
		74.7	ТОТА	L HISTORY POINTS 0
II. <u>SE</u> F	NOTE: 1. 2.	Based on facts supplied each category where the Beginning at the mid-po up or down, utilizing the	s in Parts II and III, the follow by the inspector, the Assessm violation falls. int of the category, the Asses	sment Officer will determine within sment Officer will adjust the points statements as guiding documents.
	10 0111	(assign points according		m. <u>Even</u>
A.	<u>EVE</u> 1.	NT VIOLATIONS (M What is the event w		d was designed to prevent?
	2.	What is the probabil standard was design PROBABIL	ed to prevent?	the event which a violated RANGE
		None Unlikely Likely		0
		Occurred		20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Points assigned midrange of

unlikely.

	ASSIGN DAT	MAGE POINTS(RANGE 0-25)7			
	In assigning points, consider the duration ar of area and impact on the public or environments	d extent of said damage or impact, in term			
	VIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: _Low probability of oil reaching a stream, assigned lower range.				
В.	ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)				
	1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement?				
	Assign points based on the extent to which chindered by the violation.	enforcement is actually or potentially			
	ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS				
PRO	OVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: _				
	TOTAL SERIO	OUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 1			
		Jesi Los I Gillis (II of B)			
DEC	REE OF FAIILT (May 30 nts.) (R647-7-10				
DEC A.	REE OF FAULT (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-10 IF SONO NEGLIGENCE: or IF SO0	3.2.13)			
	FREE OF FAULT (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-10 IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE.	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable co	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?)			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to pre-	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to pre- occurrence of a violation due to indifference	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to pre- occurrence of a violation due to indifference or lack of reasonable care?)	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15 e lack of diligence,			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to pre- occurrence of a violation due to indifference	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15 e lack of diligence, ate any 16.30			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to pre occurrence of a violation due to indifference or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to ab violation or was economic gain realized by	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15 e lack of diligence, ate any 16.30			
	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of the Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to presocurrence of a violation due to indifference or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to ab violation or was economic gain realized by permittee? STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15 e lack of diligence, ate any the 16-30			
A.	IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOC THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable of the Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to presocurrence of a violation due to indifference or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to ab violation or was economic gain realized by permittee? STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE	3.2.13) GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range which was 0 are?) event the 1-15 e lack of diligence, atte any the 16-30 IGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS			

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? Yes / No

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or. Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

	Point Range
Rapid Compliance	Point Range -11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	12
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	-20
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 0.00